



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/855,287	05/15/2001	Arthur C. Coffey	7175-67882	1909
	7590	03/08/2004		
Jill T. Powlick Barnes & Thornburg 11 South Meridian Street Indianapolis, IN 46204			EXAMINER CHANNAVAJJALA, LAKSHMI SARADA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	

DATE MAILED: 03/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 09/855,287	Applicant(s) COFFEY, ARTHUR C.	
	Examiner Lakshmi S Channavajjala	Art Unit 1615	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Lakshmi S Channavajjala. (3) Mark Newman.  
 (2) Jill Powlick. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 05 June 2003.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: on record.

Identification of prior art discussed: On record.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Counsel explained how Arnold teaches away from the collagen being integrated in to the wound, because the latter is a gel, which is intended to be removed from the wound (eg. col. 4, line 62) and the instant collagen matrix is derived from a tissue material that allows for vacuum treatment as well as integration. Examiner suggested to amend the independent claims such that collagen matrix integrates in to the wound and also suggested to incorporate the structural aspect of collagen matrix "provides a 3-D scaffold structure" so that the matrix withstands the negative pressure applied. Examiner also suggested providing any data showing complete integration of the matrix. Applicants response to the outstanding office action and the arguments presented therein will be considered in determining the patentability. .